

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Unitea	States Patent and Trademark Utilice
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	warmy wents assu

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,117 03/12/2004		Daniel P. Guyton	30011.24987 7081	
7	7590 10/18/2006		ЕХАМ	INER
BROUSE MO	CDOWELL sional Association	EDELL, JOSEPH F		
	n Street, Suite #500	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Akron, OH 4	4311-4407	3636		
		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/799,	17	GUYTON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Joseph F	. Edell	3636			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 October 20	<u>06</u> .				
•		This action is					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for a	llowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from c	onsideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	•			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b	\prod objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attaches	//c\						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06 October 2006 has been entered.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1 and 6 objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. claim 1, line 10, "front and rear portion" should read --front and rear portions--;
 - b. claim 6, line 10, "front and rear portion" should read --front and rear portions--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

4. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,361,433 to Vanzant in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,113,188 to Stewart et al.

Vanzant disclose an air lifted seat apparatus that is basically the same as that recited in claims 1-3 and 6-8 except that the apparatus lacks a rigid base, a cover, a compartment, self-contained air compressor, and a second valve, as recited in the claims. See Figures 1-6d of Vanzant for the teaching that the apparatus has a onepiece inflatable bladder (see Fig. 1) including a rectangular front portion, a rectangular rear portion with a height at least three times the height of the front portion upon full inflation, and first and second trapezoidal shaped side panels operatively connected to the front and rear portions, a valve (see Fig. 2) operatively connected to one of the first and second side panels, an air compressor 14, and a hose 18,62 with first end connected to the compressor and a second end connected to the valve wherein the front portion rises in unison with the rear portion. Stewart et al. show an air lifted seat apparatus similar to that of Vanzant wherein the apparatus has a rigid base 12 (see Fig. 1), an inflatable bladder 16, a compartment (see Fig. 5) located near a side panel of the bladder, a rechargeable self-contained air compressor 18 connected to the base, a cover 14 positioned over the bladder and operatively connected to the base, and a valve 32 devoted to deflation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Vanzant such that the apparatus has a rigid base connected to the bladder, a compartment located at one of the side panels of the bladder and operatively connected Application/Control Number: 10/799,117

Art Unit: 3636

to the base, the air compressor is a rechargeable, self-contained, and positioned in the compartment, a cover positioned over the bladder and operatively connected to the base, and a second valve for deflation and operatively connected to a side panel, such as the apparatus disclosed by Stewart et al. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Stewart et al. that the rigid base and cover provide a carrying case for transporting the apparatus, the self-contained air compressor in the compartment provides a battery powered compressor small enough to fit within the carrying case, and the deflation valve allows for lowering the bladder.

With respect to claims 3 and 8, modifying the height of the rear portion to be approximately 7 inches higher than the front portion at full inflation would have been obvious at the time of Applicant's inventions because the use of optimal or workable ranges discovered by routine experimentation is ordinarily within the skill of the art. Further, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the height of the rear portion of the bladder since Applicant has not disclosed that having the specific height range solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, and it appears that the bladder would perform equally well with any well known height range used in the seat art.

5. Claims 4, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanzant in view of Stewart et al. as applied to claims 1-3 and 6-8 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,264,279 B1 to Chow.

Vanzant, as modified, discloses a seat apparatus that is basically the same as that recited in claims 4, 5, 9, and 10 except that the cover lacks a connecting means, as

recited in the claims. Chow shows a seat apparatus similar to that of Vanzant wherein a cover 268 (Fig. 14a) has a removable connecting means and contains material to minimize slippage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the seat apparatus of Vanzant such that the cover has a removable connecting means and contains material to minimize slippage, such as the seat apparatus disclosed in Chow. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Chow that the removable cover protects from debris and is water resistant.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (571) 272-6858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/799,117

Art Unit: 3636

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 6

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joe Edell

October 15, 2006